

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,566	HUNTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John F. Belena, Ph.D.	3746	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) John F. Belena, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Preston H. Smirman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2004
Time: 3:00 p.m. est.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

62, 67

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner discussed how new claim 62 per applicant's amendment did not overcome the prior art of record and how the amendment of claim 62 by introducing the subject matter of new claim 67 would overcome the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)